A detailed investigation of molecular hydrogen at three flare ribbons

Sargam Mulay¹, Lyndsay Fletcher^{1,2}, Hugh Hudson¹, Nicolas Labrosse¹

¹School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Glasgow, UK

²Rosseland Centre for Solar Physics, University of Oslo, P.O.Box 1029 Blindern, NO-0315 Oslo, Norway



Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

Overview

- \bullet Formation of cool molecular hydrogen H_2 emission
- \bullet \mbox{H}_2 emission observed in three IRIS flares
- Summary
- Future research plans

Introduction to solar flares

Solar flares

• sudden bursts that produce bright emissions in different layers of the solar atmosphere

• Magnetic reconnection - plays the main role in restructuring the magnetic field and converting magnetic energy into heat and kinetic energy of particle beams

• Plasma heating - 10 MK in Corona and it is evident from emission observed in high temperature extreme ultraviolet spectral lines

• Particle acceleration - towards the lower layers where they collisionally heat the dense chromosphere.

 \bullet Radiation - results in generation of Hard X-ray and ultraviolet emission.

• Measurement of plasma parameters - electron number density, nonthermal velocities, Doppler shift, spectral profiles from spectroscopic observations are important to understand the dynamic nature of chromosphere, transition region, and corona.



Emission from molecular hydrogen H₂



A schematic representation of the ground and first excited electronic states of the hydrogen molecule, H_2 .

 \bullet H_2 is a homonuclear molecule - no intrinsic dipole moment.

• Every electronic state has multiple vibrational and rotational (sub-)states of different energies.

• Excitation or de-excitation between electronic states can be between any of these vibrational or rotational states allowed by quantum-mechanical selection rules.

• The electronic excitation from the ground state to the first (Lyman band) or second (Werner band) electronic excited state of H₂ molecule occur due to absorption of far-UV photons.

• The de-excitation to the electronic ground state (with a time scale of 10^{-8} sec) occurs by emitting the far-UV emission lines (fluorescence) in Lyman or Werner bands of H₂.

Solar observations of molecular hydrogen H₂ emission

\bullet First solar molecular H_2 observation - HRTS

- Jordan et al. (1977, 1978) sunspot umbra
- Lyman band of H_2 (P and R branches)
- \bullet fluoresced by H Lyman α red wing photons, and by strong transition region lines, C II, Si IV & O IV



- Sandlin et al. (1986) Quiet sun
 - Atlas of H_2 lines
 - Schüehle et al. (1999) SUMER Sunspot
 - Innes (2008) SUMER
 - active region plage, the footpoints of X-ray microflares,

- near the footpoint of a brightening X-ray loop and at the location of strong transition region outflows

• Cohen et al. (1978) - Skylab - solar flare - at the beginning of the flare gradual phase, and the

spectrograph slit reportedly did not cross the flare ribbon.

- Bartoe et al. (1979) HRTS Sunspot
- First HRTS flight Sunspot umbra fluoresced by the O VI resonance line
- Werner band (H $_2$ lines in Q branch that corresponds to $\Delta J=0)$
- H_2 lines decreased rapidly in intensity with time, presumably as the line intensity and width of the exciting transition region line decreased.

Emission from molecular hydrogen H₂ in solar atmosphere



Results from non-LTE model

• Temperature stratification plays the dominant role in determining the population densities of H_2 , which forms in greatest abundance near the continuum photosphere.

• Opacity due to the photoionization of Si and other neutrals determine the depth to which UV radiation can penetrate to excite the H_2 .

• The majority of H_2 emission forms in a narrow region, at about 650 km above the photosphere in standard one-dimensional (1D) models of the quiet Sun.

can originate.

Details of H_2 emission lines observed by IRIS in C II and Si IV windows

(Column 1)	(Column 2)	(Column 3)	(Column 4)	(Column 5)	(Column 6)	(Column 7)	(Column 8)	
Η ₂ λ (Å)	Transition (v' - v'')	Branch $(\Delta J = \pm 1)$	Exciting line λ (Å)	Observed solar regions	Instruments	FWHM (Å)	References	 Rotational quantum no.: (a) For P, ΔJ = -1
1333.475	0-4	R0	Si IV 1393.76	Sunspot Flare	HRTS Skylab	0.099	Jordan <i>et al</i> . (1977, 1978) Cohen <i>et al</i> . (1978)	(b) For R , $\Delta J = +1$ • Vibrational quantum no.:
				Sunspot Umbra, quiet region, limb Flare	HRTS HRTS + Skylab IRIS	-	Bartoe <i>et al.</i> (1979) Sandlin <i>et al.</i> (1986) Li <i>et al.</i> (2016)	(a) $v' = upper level$ (b) $v'' = lower level$
1333.797	0-4	R1	Si IV 1402.77	Sunspot Sunspot Flare	HRTS HRTS IRIS	- -	Jordan <i>et al.</i> (1977) Bartoe <i>et al.</i> (1979) Li <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Columns 1-3 - Sesam molecular spec- troscopy database - troscopy character
1393.451	0-4	P10	C II 1334.53	Sunspot Plage, umbra	HRTS HRTS + Skylab	-	Jordan <i>et al.</i> (1977) Sandlin <i>et al.</i> (1986)	Column 4 - adapted from
1393.719	0-5	R0	Si IV 1393.76	Sunspot	HRTS	-	Jordan <i>et al.</i> (1977)	the report on molecular
1393.732	1-5	P6	C II 1335.71	-	-	-	_	hydrogen by Prof. Peter
1393.961	0-5	R1	Si IV 1402.77	Sunspot	HRTS	-	Jordan <i>et al.</i> (1977)	Young. Link:
1400.612	0-5	R4	O IV 1399.77	Umbra, quiet region, limb	HRTS + Skylab	-	Sandlin <i>et al.</i> (1986) Bartoe <i>et al.</i> (1979)	https://pyoung.org/iris/
1402.648	0-5	P3	Si IV 1402.77	Umbra	HRTS	_	Jordan <i>et al.</i> (1977) Bartoe <i>et al.</i> (1979)	
1403.381	2-6	R2		Umbra, quiet region, limb	HRTS + Skylab	-	Sandlin et al. (1986)	
1403.982	0-4	P11	O V 1371.29	Light-bridge	HRTS	-	Bartoe et al. (1979)	
				Sunspot	HRTS	-	Bartoe et al. (1979)	
1404.750	0-5	R5	O IV 1404.81	_	-	-	Bartoe <i>et al.</i> (1979)	(Mulay and Fletcher 2021)

Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

Details of H₂ emission lines observed by IRIS in C II and Si IV windows

Exciting line	Fluorescent channel	Transition	Branch	H ₂	Wavenumber	
λ (Å)	(🗸 - 🗸'')	(1 - 1)	$(\Delta J = \pm 1)$	λ (Â)	(cm^{-1})	Rotational guantum nu
						(a) For P transition, $\Delta J =$
Si IV 1393.76	0-5 R0, 1393.719	0-4	R0	1333.475	74992.02	(b) For R transition, ΔJ =
		0-5	R0	1393.719	71750.48	a Vikuational avantum m
		0-4	P2	1338.565	74706.86	• Vibrational quantum nu (a) $\sqrt{-}$ upper level
		0-5	P2	1398.954	71481.99	(b) $v'' = $ lower level
C: N/ 1400 77	0 5 52 1402 640	0.4	D1	1000 707	74072.02	• Column 6 - energy leve
SI IV 1402.77	0-5 P3,1402.048	0-4	KI Da	1333.797	74973.93	al. (1993)
		0-4	P3	1342.257	74501.39	· · ·
		0-5	R1	1393.961	71738.02	• The information is a
C II 1334.53	0-3 P10, 1334.501	0-4	P10	1393.451	71764.30	Young. Link: https://pyou
C II 1335.71	1-4 P6, 1335.581	1-5	P6	1393.732	71749.83	

• The absorption of far-UV photons gives rise to electronic excitation in H_2 .

- There are a number of vibrational levels in each electronic state, so de-excitation to the ground electronic state leads to the formation of H_2 lines at a range of wavelengths.
- Excitation of the upper state requires photons of specific wavelength, resulting from emission in far-UV atomic lines, or continuum, or indeed other H_2 molecular lines.

Motivation

Jeffrey et al. (2018)

- studied a small X-ray flare B class
- IRIS spectra a very high cadence of 1.7 sec

• Observations

- Si IV 1402.77 Å intensity and nonthermal line broadening
- observed that the increase and peak of the nonthermal line width of the Si IV line preceded the rise and peak of line intensity

• Results

- MHD turbulence was present in flare footpoints before the plasma was heated
- the turbulence may have contributed towards the heating

Selection of IRIS solar flare observations -

- GOES X-ray class C and M class flares were selected to avoid saturation of spectral lines
- IRIS slit step cadence 1-10 sec

• IRIS slit should have observed Si IV 1393.8 and 1402.77 Å emission from flare ribbons - in order to study whether the plasma is optically thin or thick.



Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK (ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9242-2643)

Motivation

Jeffrey et al. (2018)

- studied a small X-ray flare B class
- IRIS spectra a very high cadence of 1.7 sec

Observations

• Si IV 1402.77 Å - intensity and nonthermal line broadening

• observed that the increase and peak of the nonthermal line width of the Si IV line preceded the rise and peak of line intensity

Results

• MHD turbulence was present in flare footpoints before the plasma was heated

• the turbulence may have contributed towards the heating

Selection of IRIS solar flare observations -

- GOES X-ray class C and M class flares were selected to avoid saturation of spectral lines
- IRIS slit step cadence 1-10 sec

• IRIS slit should have observed Si IV 1393.8 and 1402.77 Å emission from flare ribbons - in order to study whether the plasma is optically thin or thick.





Evidence of chromospheric molecular hydrogen emission in an IRIS flare (Mulay and Fletcher 2021, MNRAS)



• GOES M7.3 flare - 18-Apr-2014 - 12:30-13:20 UT - IRIS 10 sec cadence

• H_2 emission becomes visible when the Si IV 1402.77 Å becomes bright.

• Ribbon R1 - The H₂ line is strongest during the flare impulsive phase, dims during the GOES peak, and brightens again during the gradual phase.

• Ribbon R2 - Si IV is strong but at the same time and location H_2 is faint.

Left panel: spectral images created by summing DNs over the wavelength ranges (a) H₂ - 133.76 - 133.87 Å
(d) Si IV - 1402.5 - 1403.6 Å
Middle panel: Spectral images at single wavelength values.
(b) H₂ - 1333.79 Å
(e) Si IV - 1402.77 Å

A detailed investigation of molecular hydrogen at three flare ribbons



• GOES X-ray flares - C5.1, C9.7 and X1.0

• Objective

Identifying the sources of the nonthermal profiles/velocities (and turbulence) at the flare ribbon locations

• The study involves

 High cadence data - 5 seconds - sit-and-stare
 A full IRIS spectra for three flares originate at the same location

3) the behaviour of different H_2 lines during different phases of flares

4) A diagnostic of non-thermal velocities in the lower chromosphere - C I, O I, S I, and CI I lines

Spectral images and temporal evolution of X-rays, and UV lines



Panel a and b: Temporal evolution of flare emission at (i) GOES X-ray channels (ii) AIA 1600 Å (iii) SJI C II 1330 Å
Panel c and d: IRIS spectral images created by summing DNs over the wavelength ranges (b) H₂ - 1333.66-1333.97 Å (c) Si IV - 1402.59-1403.41 Å

 \bullet Green lines with arrows indicate the timings during the flares where we obtained the H_2 and Si IV spectra

\mathbf{H}_2 and Si IV spectra at ribbon 1 during C9.7 and X1.0 flares



Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

(ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9242-2643)

Comparison of intensities of H_2 lines during X1.0 flares - Ribbon 1 & 2



• Violet curves - total H₂ intensities summed over wavelength ranges

 \bullet Black curves - stationary component of H_2 (after fitting the Gaussian).

 \bullet Red and blue curves - red and blue wing components of H_2 (after fitting the Gaussian).

• The intensity variation in the stationary components in four H_2 lines are very similar but only differ in having blue and red-wing Gaussian components.

Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

(ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9242-2643)

X1.0 flare - Ribbon 1 - Branching ratio of \mbox{H}_2 lines

Exciting line λ (Å)	Upper level $(\sqrt{-f})$	Lower level $(\sqrt{'} - f')$	Branch $(\Delta J = \pm 1)$	$H_2 \\ \lambda$ (Å)	Transition probability	Oscillator Strength	Branching ratio
Si IV 1393.76	0-1	4-0	R0	1333.475	1.56316e+08	0.1251	0.08292*
	0-1	4-2	P2	1338.565	3.08291e+08	0.04971	0.1635
Si IV 1402.77	0-2	4-1	R1	1333.797	1.87859e+08	0.08355	0.1011*
	0-2	2-3	P3	1342.257	2.75700e+08	0.05322	0.1483

* Jaeggli et al. 2014, AAS Meeting 224 #323.06



• The transitions with higher probability will produce more photons than the transitions with lower probability.

• The branching ratio is a way of expressing this probability, it's the probability that one transition occurs divided by the total probability from all the downward transitions from that upper level.

• In the optically thin case, the line intensities will follow the branching ratios exactly, i.e. all the lines belonging to a particular upper level will have intensities that are related by their branching ratio.

Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

16.55

Correlation between H_2 and Si IV emission at ribbon 1 & 2 during X1.0 flares



Correlation between H_2 and Si IV emission at ribbon 1 & 2 during X1.0 flares



Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK (ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9242-2643)

Comparison of Doppler velocities of H_2 lines during X1.0 flares - Ribbon 1 & 2



(f) Red shift and blue shift 16:52 18.56 17:00 17:04 Time (UT) (g) H. 1338.565 Å Doppler velocity (stationary Gaussian component) (h) Red shift and blue shift 16:52 16:56 17:00 17:04 Time (UT)

The black curves indicate Doppler velocities for the stationary component of H_2 . The red and blue curves indicate their red and blue-shifted components respectively.

Small Doppler shifts • were observed. Mostly consistent thev were with zero within the indicating errors _ negligible bulk flows along the line of sight.

Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

(ORCID iD: 0000-0002-9242-2643)

Comparison of nonthermal velocities of H_2 lines during X1.0 flares - Ribbon 1 & 2



Dr. Sargam Mulay (email: Sargam.Mulay@glasgow.ac.uk)

University of Glasgow, UK

for

component

velocities

nonthermal

are

the

and

the

Summary - Mulay S. M., Fletcher L., Hudson H., Labrosse, N. in preparation

- H₂ emission was observed in flare ribbons GOES C5.1, C9.7, and X1.0 X-ray flare
 - H_2 emission was observed in the impulsive rise phase of the C9.7 flare whereas strong H_2 emission was observed before the X1.0 flare started and H_2 emission continued in the impulsive phase
- Spatial and temporal correlation between H₂ and Si IV emission observed in flare ribbons
 - A strong positive correlation was observed between H₂ and Si IV emission.
 - A strong positive correlation was observed between two H₂ lines which are fluoresced by the same Si IV emission.

• The H₂ lines are broadened,

- corresponding to non-thermal speeds in the range 10-20 km/s.
- H₂ Doppler shifts are consistent with zero within the errors, indicating negligible bulk flows along the line-of-sight.

• From Branching ratio of H₂ lines

- The intensity of two H₂ lines (originated from the same upper-level) follow the branching ratio.
- This indicates that H₂ emission is formed under the optically thin plasma conditions.

Future plans

• Some unresolved questions

- Why does the behaviour of H_2 lines change rapidly (with a time scale of 5 sec)?
- Why do we see stationary and moving components in one H₂ line but not in other H₂ line? even though the same Si IV line at 1402.77 Å is responsible for the emission of both H₂ lines

• Future research

- \bullet Understanding the changes in Si IV line profiles and their effects on H_2 lines using RADYN simulations
- A diagnostic of non-thermal velocities in the lower chromosphere C I, O I, and CI I lines and comparison with $\rm H_2$ lines

Key references

Abgrall H., et al., 1993a, A&AS, 101, 273
Abgrall H., et al., 1993b, A&AS, 101, 323
Bartoe J. D. F., et al., 1979, MNRAS, 187, 463
Cohen L., et al., 1978, ApJS, 37, 393
Innes D. E., 2008, A&A, 481, L41
Jaeggli S. A., et al., 2018, ApJ, 855, 134
Jordan C., et al., Nature, 270, 326
Jordan C., et al., 1978, ApJ, 226, 687
Sandlin G. D., et al., 1986, ApJS, 61, 801
Schüehle U., et al., eds, ESA Special Publication
Vol. 446, 8th SOHO Workshop: Plasma Dynamics and Diagnostics in the Solar Transition Region and Corona. p. 617

Acknowledgements

• We acknowledge support from the UK Research and Innovationâs Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC) under grant award numbers ST/P000533/1 and ST/T000422/1.

• We would like to thank Dr. Peter Young (NASA), Dr. Giulio Del Zanna, and Dr. Helen Mason (University of Cambridge, UK) for the discussion and valuable comments.

• IRIS is a NASA small explorer mission developed and operated by LMSAL with mission operations executed at NASA Ames Research center and major contributions to downlink communications funded by ESA and the Norwegian Space Centre.